# Experimental study and nonlinear dynamic analysis of time-periodic micro chaotic mixers

# YI-KUEN LEE<sup>1</sup>, CHIANG SHIH<sup>2</sup>, PATRICK TABELING<sup>3</sup> AND CHIH-MING HO<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Kowloon, Hong Kong

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mechanical Engineering, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32310, USA <sup>3</sup>MMN, ESPCI, 10, rue Vauquelin, 75005 Paris, France

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

(Received 1 September 2005 and in revised form 5 October 2006)

The efficiency of MEMS-based time-periodic micro chaotic mixers is experimentally and theoretically investigated in this study. A time-periodic flow perturbation was realized using digitally controlled solenoid valves to activate a source and sink alternately, acting together as a pair, with different driving frequencies. Working fluids with and without fluorescent dye were used in the micromixing experiments. The spatio-temporal variation of the mixing concentration during the mixing process was characterized at different Strouhal numbers, ranging from 0.03 to 0.74, using fluorescence microscopy. A simple kinematical model for the micromixer was used to demonstrate the presence of chaotic mixing. Specific stretching rate, Lyapunov exponent, and local bifurcation and Poincaré section analyses were used to identify the emergence of chaos. Two different numerical methods were employed to verify that the maximum Lyapunov exponent was positive in the proposed micromixer model. A simplified analytical analysis of the effect of Strouhal number is presented. Kolmogorov–Arnold–Mose (KAM) curves, which are mixing barriers, were also found in Poincaré sections. From a comparative study of the experimental results and theoretical analysis, a finite-time Lyapunov exponent (FTLE) was shown to be a more practical mixing index than the classical Lyapunov exponent because the time spent in mixing is the main concern in practical applications, such as bio-medical diagnosis. In addition, the FTLE takes into account both fluid stretching in terms of the stretching rate and fluid folding in terms of curvature.

# 1. Introduction

Micro-total-analysis systems (MicroTAS) and bio-micro-electromechanical systems (bio-MEMS) have received much attention in industrial and academic research institutions because of their potential use in a variety of biological applications (Burns *et al.* 1998; Figeys & Pinto 2000; Stroock *et al.* 2002; Grayson *et al.* 2004; Stone, Stroock & Ajdari 2004). Owing to their minute size and many other advantages, these micro devices are very promising for manipulating, analysing and sensing ultra-small amounts of bio-samples. Depending on the type of bio-molecules (e.g. DNA, enzymes, antibodies) that are being handled, these devices must have the capability

to provide efficient fluidic manipulations, such as fluid delivery, mixing, separation, and filtration.

Mixing of fluids is an important fluidic manipulation for most bio-medical applications. In polymer chain reaction (PCR), DNA hybridization or molecular diagnosis of disease needs to bring molecules of various kinds close together in a short time for fast and effective reactions. Mixing in conventional laboratories is usually performed by either manually shaking and tapping the test tube followed by centrifuging, or mixing using a stirrer (Evensen, Meldrum & Cunningham 1998). However, these conventional mixing methods are difficult, if not impossible, to implement in miniaturized systems. Therefore, the pursuit of novel mechanisms for effective mixing is critical for the development of bio-MEMS devices.

Traditionally, turbulent enhancement has been the most effective process to achieve efficient mixing. For example, Regenfuss *et al.* (1985) and Bökenkamp *et al.* (1998) used turbulent jet and multiple T-shaped turbulent flows, respectively, to study fast chemical reactions at millimetre-sized scales. However, it is much more difficult to generate turbulence at microscales owing to the higher viscous dissipation at small Reynolds numbers (Raynal & Gence 1997).

On the other hand, laminar mixing through molecular diffusion is usually too slow to be practical in most cases. Miyake et al. (1993) designed a double-layered micro-mixer, in which 400-micron-diameter nozzles were fabricated to provide microplumes, which could increase the surface contact area for faster mixing. Evensen et al. (1998) designed a mixer driven by a piezo-ceramic actuator such that fluids, e.g.  $\lambda$ -DNA, were perturbed periodically in a glass capillary. They reported no apparent shear breakage of the DNA after mixing by testing with agarose gel electrophoresis. Bökenkamp et al. (1998) fabricated a silicon mixer for liquid-phase chemical reactions over short durations of 110 ms. Volpert et al. (1999) reported on an actively controlled micromixer using six branched microchannels perpendicular to the main microchannel. Mixing inside the main microchannel was enhanced by introducing periodic perturbations through the side microchannels. Their numerical simulation showed that the effectiveness of this active micromixer increased if the temporal waveforms of the perturbations are properly controlled. Unfortunately, they did not report the mixing indices, such as the Lyapunov exponent (LE) and Poincaré section, which are the most commonly used tools in the identification of chaos. Okkels & Tabeling (2004) described the mixing in a simple cross-shaped micromixer with time-periodic transverse flow in terms of a new phenomenon – spatial-temporal resonance. A detailed review of micro mixers designed during recent years was undertaken by Nguyen & Wu (2005). In particular, mixing by chaotic advection is considered to be one of the most promising techniques for mixing in microfluidic devices (Ottino & Wiggins 2004).

Aref was among the first researchers to use the term *chaotic advection* (Aref 1984) to describe mixing achieved in a laminar flow at a low Reynolds number and to compare this phenomenon with turbulent mixing (Aref 2002). It is well known that chaotic mixing can occur at any Reynolds number and that it is the degree of the spatial-temporal complexity of the flow that determines whether the advected particle paths are chaotic, not the force balance in the momentum equation (Jones & Aref 1988).

In this paper we report on the fabrication and characterization of a time-periodic micro chaotic mixer, which was studied under fluorescence video microscopy. A two-dimensional kinematic model of the micro chaotic mixer (Niu & Lee 2003a) was used to conduct a detailed parametric study of the mixing enhancement, using different methods. Several techniques, including Poincaré section, Lyapunov exponent,



FIGURE 1. Cross-sectional diagrams of the micro fabrication process flow for the micromixer: (a) photolithography using SU-8 photoresist, (b) dummy wafer gluing, (c) DRIE etching, (d) double-sided anodic bonding, and (e) barbed adapter gluing.

and local bifurcation analyses, were used to explore the existence of chaos. In addition, a finite-time Lyapunov exponent (FTLE) (Pierrehumbert 1991; Muzzio, Swanson & Ottino 1991; Ottino *et al.* 1992; Tang & Boozer 1996; Thiffeault 2004) was introduced as a mixing index for the evaluation of the mixing performance of our micromixer.

# 2. Experimental apparatus and micromixing measuring techniques

# 2.1. Fabrication of the micromixer

The micromixers investigated in this study are continuous mixing systems that can be easily integrated in line with other devices to form a fully functional lab-on-a-chip system. We selected time-periodic pressure perturbations to initiate chaotic motions in order to rapidly homogenize the initially non-uniformly distributed concentration fields. The micromixer chips were fabricated at the UCLA Nanoelectronics Research Facility using MEMS technology, including SU-8 photolithography, deep reactive ion etching (DRIE), anodic bonding, as well as other micro-fabrication techniques. Cross-sectional diagrams illustrating the fabrication process are shown in figure 1. The



FIGURE 2. Schematic diagram of the micromixer.

micromixers were fabricated on a four-inch double-sided polished silicon wafer, using the following sequence. First, the process was started by applying photolithography with SU-8 photoresist (Microchem Corp, MA, USA) as the mask for DRIE (Model SLR-770, PlasmaTherm, FL, USA) of the silicon. Second, a dummy silicon wafer was glued to the back of the first wafer by applying AZ5214 photoresist at 120°C for about 5 minutes. The wafer was then etched through using the DRIE technique with SU-8 photoresist as the mask. Third, the remaining SU-8 photoresist and the dummy wafer were removed by rinsing three times consecutively in fresh strong piranha solutions ( $H_2SO_4$ :  $H_2O_2 = 1$ :1). Fourth, the device was sealed by attaching the processed silicon wafer to a 1/8 in. thick Pyrex glass plate, using anodic bonding. Six through-holes were drilled on the Pyrex glass (Model 8476K321, McMaster Inc., CA, USA) to provide the fluid inlets and outlet. A thin piece of Pyrex glass ( $\sim 200 \,\mu m$ , Specialty Glass Products Inc., PA, USA) bonded on the other side of the mixer was used as an optical window for flow visualization purposes. Finally, 1/16 in. barbed tubing adapters (Model 5116K16, McMaster Inc.) were machined and glued to the inlets/outlets on the thick glass layer in order to provide the necessary flow connection through Tygon<sup>TM</sup> tubing (Model 5554K91, McMaster Inc.).

As shown in figure 2, a micromixer with a  $400 \,\mu\text{m}$  deep main channel width of  $100 \,\mu\text{m}$  and two  $50 \,\mu\text{m}$  wide branch channels was fabricated. The micromixer was designed so that two streams of different fluids, controlled individually by two external flow devices, could be directed through the two branch channels and merged in the main channel. This will be described in more detail in the next section.

# 2.2. Experimental apparatus and characterization of the micromixer

The experimental set-up for both the operation and characterization of the micromixer chip is shown in figure 3. Two digitally controlled syringe pumps (Model 44I/W, Harvard Apparatus, MA, USA) were used to individually regulate the flow rates of the two inlets into the main channel (figure 2). To clearly identify the mixing enhancement, Rhodamine 6G fluorescent dye (Fisher Scientific, CA, USA) was used to label the working fluid in one of the branch channels. As a result of the mixing, the relative fluorescent intensity of the main channel fluid stream varied due to changes in its local dye concentration; this was used as a quantitative measure of the mixing efficiency.

In addition to the microflow visualization, it is useful to quantify the mixing efficiency. There are many ways to evaluate mixing efficiency, e.g. the residence time distribution (Levenspiel 1972), the distribution of striation thickness (Khakhar, Rising & Ottino 1986), lobe dynamics (Wiggins 1992; Beigie, Leonard & Wiggins 1994), entropy (D'Alessandro, Dahlek & Mezic 1999) and a multiscale measure for mixing – the Mix-Norm (Mathew, Mézic & Petzold 2005). Liu *et al.* (2000) evaluated



FIGURE 3. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup for the micromixer characterization.

the mixing of a passive three-dimensional serpentine micromixer by considering the uniformity of the intensity of the fluid flow inside the microchannel. The uniformity was quantified by calculating the experimental mixing index  $I_E$  by considering the deviation of the pixel intensity C from its maximum intensity value in an image field such as that shown in figure 4(b):

$$I_E = 1 - \frac{1}{\overline{C}} \sqrt{\frac{\sum (C(x_2) - \overline{C})^2}{N}},\tag{1}$$

where N is the total number of pixels and  $\overline{C}$  is the averaged pixel intensity of the concentration function of  $x_2$ ,  $C(x_2)$ ,

$$\overline{C} = \sum C(x_2)/N.$$

If ideal mixing takes place in the micromixer, the mixed concentration is uniform and C is a constant; the mixing index  $I_E$  should have a value of one since the root-mean-square integral becomes zero. If there is no mixing between the two fluid streams, C is a step-like function and the mixing index is equal to zero.

To set up the main channel flow, two digital syringe pumps, each equipped with 10 ml glass syringes (Fisher Scientific), were used to pump de-ionized (DI) water and a DI water solution of Rhodamine 6G dye, respectively, into the two inlets of the micromixer. As shown in figure 3, to activate the flow control, computer-interfaced solenoid valves (three-way HDI Model LHDA 0531115H, The Lee Company, CT, USA), which could be turned on and off at specified frequencies, were integrated with the control ports of the micro mixer. The control signal for the solenoid valves had a periodic square waveform with variable frequencies, generated by a PIO-12 digital input/output card (Keithley Instruments Inc., OH, USA) interfaced to a personal computer. When connected to a high-pressure source and/or a low-pressure sink, these valves could be activated to provide pulsatile pressure perturbations to the main channel flow at desired frequencies and amplitudes. The high-pressure source was regulated by a compressed-air tank with a two-stage pressure regulator, while the low-pressure sink was controlled by a rotary vane vacuum pump (Edwards E2M18).



FIGURE 4. (a) Schematic of a simple cross-channel micromixer and (b) generation of a lobe-like fold structure between the two fluids (glycerine with and without Rhodamine 6G dye) by periodic pressure perturbation at the side channel at  $Re = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  and f = 0.80 Hz.

To prevent air bubbles from getting into the microchannel, two transparent Plexiglas reservoirs were added between the micromixer and the solenoid valves (figure 3). Extra care was taken to ensure that the majority of the trapped air was collected and removed inside these reservoirs. In order to adjust the strength of the source and sink to achieve the desired perturbation, pinch-tight tube clamps (Model 5330K14, McMaster Inc.) were used on the 1/16 in. diameter Tygon<sup>TM</sup> tubing (Model 5554K91, McMaster Inc.) connecting the vacuum pump and the compressed-air tank to the two Plexiglas reservoirs.

#### 2.3. Microflow visualization

An epi-fluorescence Olympus IX70 microscope was used to visualize the micromixing flow. The fluorescent light emitted from the fluorescent dye-labelled liquid flow could be efficiently separated from the light scattered from the channel walls and other impurities in the testing liquids. The flow behaviour inside the main channels after the two branch-flow streams merged was recorded on videotape using a CCD camera (Model TM745, Pulnix Inc., CA, USA). The video was then digitized by a video capture interface card (TARGA 1000, Truevision Inc., CA, USA) with the image resolution of  $648 \times 486$  pixels (NTSC) and analysed by using an in-house Matlab<sup>TM</sup> digital image-processing program. The mixing enhancement could be further quantified by examining the normalized fluorescence intensity distribution of the fluid across the main channel at the AA cross-section as shown in figure 4(*b*) and using equation (1).

The micromixer was tested with two low-diffusivity working fluids consisting of a glycerine (AC41098-5000, Fisher Scientific) water solution with and without Rhodamine 6G dye. Without time-periodic perturbations in the mid-stream, the



FIGURE 5. Pseudocolour enhanced micrographs showing the generation of stretching and folding of the fluids (glycerine solution with and without Rhodamine 6G dye) in the micromixer at different stages during one period (T = 1 s) at  $Re = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$  and St = 0.08.

downstream profile showed little change compared to its upstream counterpart. The slight broadening of the concentration profile in the  $x_2$ -direction could be attributed to the molecular diffusion of the fluorescent dye. If the average velocity in the main microchannel, controlled by a digital syringe pump, is  $V_0$ , then the diffusion constant of Rhodamine 6G, D, can be estimated by measuring the broadening width at the downstream location  $x_1$  (Lee *et al.* 2000).

In figure 4(b), the micromixer with the time-periodic perturbation in the mid-stream had a Strouhal number of 0.056 (frequency f = 0.80 Hz). Here, the Strouhal number is defined as  $St = f W_s / V_0$ , where  $V_0$  is the average velocity in the main microchannel, f is the frequency of perturbations (which was varied) and  $W_s$  is the width of the side channel. In an active micromixer, St represents the ratio between the residence time of a species and the time period of its disturbance (Nguyen & Wu 2005). At  $Re = 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ , the main channel in the micromixer showed a repetitive fluid interface folding pattern immediately after the side channel, as shown in figure 4(b). This lobelike fold pattern greatly increased the surface of the contact area of the two fluids to promote mixing. At the end of the downstream section, molecular diffusion gradually blurred this lobe-like folding structure, signifying a more effective mixing process.

In addition, the controlled fluid interface pattern was examined using pressure perturbations at different driving frequencies and amplitudes. At low frequencies and amplitudes, the interface showed a strong wavy pattern. If the driving frequency increased, the amplitude of the wavy pattern decreased accordingly. However, for the same perturbation frequency, the folding level increased as the amplitude increased. In §4, we will examine numerically how a micromixer with time-period perturbations in the side channels can cause 'chaotic mixing' by computing the Lyapunov exponent and plotting a Poincaré section.

We recorded the periodic perturbation of the two fluids in the micromixer and examined the evolution of the fluid interface during one complete period (T = 1 s), as shown in figure 5. Pseudocolour was applied to these micrographs via digital image-processing to enhance the interface between the two fluids. In the first three frames (t = 0, 0.1T and 0.2T), the fluids at the intersection of the main channel and side



FIGURE 6. Time-averaged experimental mixing index as a function of the Strouhal number, St, for the micromixer, averaged from 600 frames (30 s).

channel were pushed upward in the side channel (positive  $x_2$ -direction). This created a folding of the fluids. In the following frames, the stretched fluid blob was pulled in the negative  $x_2$ -direction. The fluid blob was also forced to move into the main channel, following the main flow stream. Because the velocity profile in the main channel was parabolic, the fluid blob was deformed non-uniformly across the main channel width, resulting in fluid stretching. Under the time-periodic flow perturbations in the side channel, the micromixer created a series of fluid stretching and folding patterns that are essential for increasing the fluid interfaces, thus promoting good mixing.

Next, we adjusted the driving frequency of the micromixer to study the effect of frequency on mixing efficiency. For each case, a 30s video of the flow field at a downstream location was recorded. The experimental mixing index  $I_E$  for each frame was calculated, and the time-averaged mixing index as a function of Strouhal number is shown in figure 6. From this figure, the optimal mixing for this micromixer occurred at a Strouhal number close to 0.25.

### 3. Modelling and analysis of the micro chaotic mixer

In order to gain a better understanding of how the micromixer works, a kinematical model will be developed in this section. Lyapunov exponent, local bifurcation and Poincaré section analyses will be applied to study the nonlinear dynamic behaviour of this micromixer model.

#### 3.1. Kinematic model for the micromixer

Since the flow velocity in our micromixer was extremely low (typically, the Reynolds number  $\ll 1$ ), the Navier–Stokes equations can be linearized to equations representative of Stokes' flow. Under Stokes' flow approximation, the velocity at the intersection of the main and branch channels may be approximated as a linear superposition of the main flow plus the perturbed flow. Note that although the velocity field is linear, the governing equations for the fluid particle trajectory are nonlinear. A detailed chaotic dynamic analysis will be discussed later in §4.



FIGURE 7. (a) The velocity fields in the micromixer model divided into Regions, I, II, III, and IV, and (b) the generation of a lobe-like structure by the periodic perturbation of the two-stream fluids to increase the surface contact area.  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are the material coordinates  $(V_p/V_0 = 0.5, St = 0.637)$ .

As shown in figure 7(*a*), the flow in the main channel, with a width  $W_m$ , was from left to right, and that there was one side channel at the origin of the coordinates with a width  $W_s$ . According to the local flow behaviour, we could divide the velocity field into four regions: I, II, III, and IV, as shown in figure 7(*a*). The velocity profiles, both in the main (Regions I and III) and side channels (Region IV) far from the intersection, were assumed to be parabolic. The main flow had a constant flow rate while the side channel carried a time-dependent component. At the intersection between the side and main channels (Region II), the resultant velocity was the linear sum of the two components from both channels. For Regions I and III, there was only one non-zero velocity component in the  $x_1$ -direction:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = V_0(1 - (X_2/W_m)^2), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = 0 \quad \text{for Regions I and III}, \tag{2a}$$

where  $V_0$  is the maximum velocity in the main channel.

Note that  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are the material coordinates. The motion  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\mathbf{X}, t)$  denotes the position vector  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$  occupied by the material particle  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2)$  at time t(Eringen 1967) along the streamwise  $(x_1)$  and the transverse directions  $(x_2)$ . This notation is useful for computing deformation-rate tensors, the differential line stretch, and the stretching rate for quantitative mixing analysis (Ottino 1989). In the side channel far from the intersection, such as Region IV, we assumed that the velocity was only in the  $x_2$ -direction. The velocity amplitude in Region IV changed periodically with respect to time at a driving frequency  $\omega$ :

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = 0, \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = V_P (1 - (X_1/W_s)^2) \cos(\omega t) \text{ for Region IV}, \quad (2b)$$

where  $V_p$  is the maximum velocity amplitude in the side channel.

The velocity field in Region II was also assumed to be the linear superposition of the fields in Regions I and IV:

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = V_0(1 - (X_2/W_m)^2), \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = V_P(1 - (X_1/W_s)^2)\cos(\omega t) \text{ for Region II.} \quad (2c)$$

Although this is an approximated velocity field, these assumptions are not expected to affect the qualitative results substantially, as pointed out by Volpert et al. (1999) in a similar geometry and by the CFD verification in our previous work (Lee et al. 2000; Niu & Lee 2003a). Once the velocity field is prescribed, the particle trajectories can be readily calculated by integrating the governing ordinary differential equations. Note that the effect of diffusion has not been factored into our model owing to its negligible contribution to the overall flow behaviour as was evident from experimental observations. The distribution of a total of 24000 colour-coded particles moving in the micromixer  $(V_p/V_0 = 0.5, St = 0.637)$ , is shown in figure 7(b). A time-periodic stretching and folding process can be clearly observed in this flow pattern, consistent with the microflow visualization results using a low-diffusivity working fluid (figure 4b).

The driving frequency,  $\omega = 2\pi f$ , and the relative strength of the side-channel perturbation,  $(V_p/V_0)$ , in the dynamical system equations are both important parameters that govern the interface pattern of the two mixing fluid streams. If  $V_0$  is fixed while  $V_p$  and  $\omega$  are varied gradually in the simulation of the fluid interface, the result will lead to very rich diversity of flow patterns. Figure 7(b) represents the shape of a fluid interface released at the centre of the main channel upstream from the channel intersection after passing through the mixing region (where the side channel is located). At a small amplitude, the interface underwent oscillations as it passed through the mixer. This is classified as the wavy regime. The wave amplitude increases with the perturbing amplitude and leads to the so-called 'strong waves' regime. Finally, a chaotic-like regime appeared beyond the threshold amplitude, when the wave periodically hit a corner of the channel intersection. A more detailed analysis can be found in Tabeling et al. (2004).

The traditional infinite-time Lyapunov exponent, which indicates chaotic mixing, is mathematically defined for fluid properties that are calculated at a time approaching infinity, i.e. the side channels in the micromixer need to be repeated indefinitely. Obviously this is not a useful quantity in practical microfluidic applications. The governing equations for the micromixer, equation (2a-c), can be modified to allow for infinite downstream side channels. This can be easily implemented in a microfluidic device and in a computer program by assigning side cross channels with normalized widths of 2 at  $x_1 = 4n - 1$  (n = 1, 2, 3, ...). This approach has been successfully implemented to simulate a micromixer with normalized channel dimensions. However, in order to examine the sensitivity of the geometric design (main and side channel widths) to the mixing, additional computer code was introduced for the velocity field with a main channel width  $(W_m)$ , side channel width  $(W_s)$ , and distance between side channels (pch) as follows:

1. If 
$$Abs(x_2) \leq W_{mn}/2$$
,  $\dot{x}_1 = V_0(1 - (x_2/(W_m/2))^2)$ , otherwise  $\dot{x}_1 = 0$ .

- 2. Set  $x_p = pch^*$  Integer part $(x_1/pch)$ .
- 3. If  $x \leq (x_p + W_s)$ , Set  $x_c = x_p + W_s/2$  and
- $\dot{x}_2 = V_p (1 [(x_1 x_c)/(W_s/2)]^2) \cos(\omega t).$ 4. If  $x > (x_p + W_s)$ , Set  $\dot{x}_2 = 0$ .

Simulations of the deformation of two fluid blobs in the micromixer with periodic side channels at different Strouhal numbers were conducted with the above modified



FIGURE 8. Simulations of mixing of two fluid blobs in the time-periodic micromixer at different driving frequencies at  $t^*(=t/t_0)=13.3$  ( $t_0 = d/V_{0,avg}=3$ ): (a) initial fluid blobs at  $t^*=0$ ; (b) St = 0.24,  $t^* = 13.3$ ; (c) St = 0.32,  $t^* = 13.3$ ; and (d) St = 0.95,  $t^* = 13.3$ .

model. As shown in figure 8, the original fluid blobs were transformed into much smaller fluid segments at St = 0.32 than at St = 0.24 or 0.95. However, these types of simulations were very time-consuming. It was impractical to conduct a comprehensive investigation of mixing effectiveness. Therefore, the parametric study of the effect of the Strouhal number on the mixing performance will be discussed using a different approach in §4.

# 3.2. Specific stretching rate as a measure of the mixing effectiveness: an analytical solution

As we have discussed in previous sections, the surface contact area (fluid interface or material line in two-dimensional flow) plays an important role in the mixing process. The time-periodic forcing in the side channel greatly enhances the mixing process by increasing the total contact area at the fluid interface. Therefore, one way to characterize the mixing enhancement in a two-dimensional microflow field is to calculate the increase of the individual fluid interface lines. However, as pointed out by Franjione & Ottino (1987), direct numerical tracking of the interface presents formidable computational problems, even in simple two-dimensional chaotic flows. Tracking a realistic three-dimensional flow would be even more challenging.

However, a Lyapunov exponent analysis has been shown to be an effective alternative approach to characterizing the mixing effectiveness because the Lyapunov exponent  $\lambda_i$  can be physically interpreted as the long-term average of the specific stretching rate (Khakhar *et al.* 1986; Ottino 1989).

A dynamical system with *n* degrees of freedom has at most *n* different Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_i$  (i = 1, 2, ..., n). If |dX| represents the length of an infinitesimal fluid element vector with initial conditions dX around X and orientation M = dX/|dX|, and its length at time *t* is |dx|, the Lyapunov exponents  $\lambda_i$  are defined as

$$\lambda_{i}(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{M}) = \lim_{t \to \infty_{|d\boldsymbol{X}| \to 0}} \left[ \frac{1}{t} \ln \left( \frac{|d\boldsymbol{x}|}{|d\boldsymbol{X}|} \right) \right], \qquad (3)$$

where X and  $M = \{M_i\}$  are the position and orientation vectors of the initial fluid element. From chaotic dynamics, it is well known that *at least one* Lyapunov exponent in a chaotic system will be positive. The stretching efficiency e(X, M), also considered as a theoretical mixing index (a normalized Lyapunov exponent; Ottino 1989), is defined as

$$e(X, M) \equiv \frac{d_{ij}d_{ij}M_iM_j}{(d_{ij}d_{ij})^{1/2}},$$
(4)

where *i* and *j* are the dummy indices for deformation-rate tensor  $(d_{ij})$  summation.

If a flow field can be described analytically, such as two-dimensional parabolic channel flow, we can easily derive the theoretical mixing index, e(X, M). In practice, it is more efficient to compute the *line stretch* first and then differentiate the line stretch with respect to time. From a study of continuum mechanics (Eringen 1967), the square of the line stretch s for an infinitesimal fluid element with an orientation vector M is

$$s^2 = C_{ij} M_i M_j, (5)$$

where  $C_{ij}$  is the right Cauchy–Green strain tensor. This tensor can simply be found by using the following formula:

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F},\tag{6}$$

where  $\mathbf{F} = \{\partial x_i / \partial X_i\}$  is the deformation gradient tensor.

For two-dimensional parabolic flow, the solution of the position of a fluid particle as a function of its original position,  $X = (X_1, X_2)$ , is

$$x_1 = V_0 (1 - X_2^2)t + X_1, \quad x_2 = X_2.$$
 (7)

Therefore, the corresponding right Cauchy-Green strain tensor is

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{F}^{T} \mathbf{F} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2V_{0}tX_{2} \\ -2V_{0}tX_{2} & 1 + 4V_{0}^{2}t^{2}X_{2}^{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (8)

The square of the line stretch for a fluid element with an original orientation vector  $M = (M_1, M_2)$  is therefore

$$s^{2} = M_{1}^{2} + M_{2}^{2} - 4M_{1}M_{2}V_{0}tX_{2} + 4(M_{2}V_{0}tX_{2})^{2}.$$
(9)

Differentiating equation (9) with respect to time and dividing by  $(d_{ij}d_{ij})^{1/2}$ , we find the theoretical mixing efficiency as follows:

$$e(X, M) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}X_2V_0} \frac{4(M_2V_0X_2)^2t - 2M_1M_2V_0X_2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2 - 4M_1M_2V_0tX_2 + 4(M_2V_0tX_2)^2}$$
  
=  $\frac{2\sqrt{2}M_2^2X_2V_0t - \sqrt{2}M_1M_2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2 - 4M_1M_2V_0tX_2 + 4(M_2V_0tX_2)^2},$  (10)

where

$$\{d_{ij}\} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -V_0 X_2 \\ -V_0 X_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad d_{ij} d_{ij} = 2d_{12}^2 = 2(V_0 X_2)^2.$$

For t = 0, e(X, M) can be simplified to

$$e(X, M) = \frac{-\sqrt{2}M_1M_2}{M_1^2 + M_2^2}.$$
(11)

The maximum e(X, M) is obtained for a fluid line element with the following orientation vector:

$$M_1 = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad M_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}.$$
 (12)

This is consistent with a previous paper (Khakhar *et al.* 1986). As  $t \to \infty$ , we find that the mixing index, e(X, M), of the two-dimensional parabolic flow decreases as 1/t,

$$e(X, M) \to \frac{2\sqrt{2}M_2^2 X_2 V_0 t}{4(M_2 V_0 t X_2)^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2V_0 X_2 t} \sim \frac{1}{t}.$$
 (13)

Therefore, two-dimensional parabolic channel flow without any perturbation does not mix well. This gives us the mathematical foundation necessary to introduce an external control to enhance mixing. Recently, complementary to our analysis of a micromixer model, Voth, Haller & Gollub (2002) also used the Cauchy–Green strain tensor to evaluate the finite-time Lyapunov exponent field and distribution of the experimental data of a two-dimensional flow produced by density stratification and time-periodic magnetic forcing. Obviously, this approach will be a very useful tool for the comparative study of theory and experiment in chaotic mixing research.

# 3.3. Dimensionless temporal parameter for chaotic mixing

When considering chaotic mixing at the macro-scale level, the contribution of molecular diffusion is usually negligible. However, at the micro-scale level, mixing due to diffusion may not be neglected. Yang (1994) used a simplified dimensional analysis and found that the characteristic mixing length scale and time scale for chaotic mixing with diffusion were  $L_c = \sqrt{D/\lambda}$  and  $\tau = \ln(L_0/L_c)/\lambda$ , respectively, where  $\lambda$  is the Lyapunov exponent,  $L_0$  is the initial length scale, and D is the fluid diffusion constant. If the characteristic length,  $L_c$ , is substituted into  $\tau$ , we have

$$\tau = \frac{\ln(L_0/\sqrt{D/\lambda})}{\lambda} = \frac{2\ln(L_0/\sqrt{D/\lambda})}{2\lambda} = \frac{\ln\left(\lambda L_0^2/D\right)}{2\lambda}.$$
 (14)

However, an analysis of an advection-convection equation in a natural Lagrangian coordinate system (Tang & Boozer 1996) suggested a dimensionless parameter for the chaotic mixing:

$$\Omega = \frac{\lambda L_0^2}{D} = \frac{L_0^2/D}{1/\lambda} = \frac{t_D}{t_C},\tag{15}$$

	Parameters	Reference	Method I	Rel. error
Lorenz attractor	$\sigma = 0, r = 28, b = 8/3$	0.906	0.904	0.22%
Lorenz attractor	$\sigma = 6, r = 45.92, b = 4$	1.50	1.504	0.27%
Rössler attractor	a = b = 0.2, c = 5.7	0.0714	0.0711	0.04%



where the diffusive mixing time,  $t_D$ , is  $L_0^2/D$  and the chaotic mixing time,  $t_C$ , is  $1/\lambda$ . In fact, after rearranging the above equation, we can show that this dimensionless parameter is the Péclet number (Levenspiel 1972):

$$\Omega = \frac{L_0^2/D}{1/\lambda} = \frac{L_0}{t_C} \frac{L_0}{D} = \frac{V_c L_0}{D} = Pe.$$
 (16)

Therefore, the chaotic mixing time,  $t_c$ , can be simply expressed as

$$t_C = \frac{\ln(Pe)}{2\lambda} \sim \ln(L_0). \tag{17}$$

This mixing time,  $t_c$ , is proportional to the logarithm of the characteristic length scale,  $L_0$ . Therefore, we can use an estimation of the chaotic mixing time as a function of Péclet number and Lyapunov exponent to design a micromixer for different types of fluid. In comparison to the diffusive mixing time  $(t_D \sim L_0^2)$ , which is proportional to the second power of the characteristic length scale, chaotic mixing is much more efficient.

# 4. Nonlinear dynamic analysis of the micro chaotic mixer model: numerical approach

Owing to the large nonlinear perturbation terms in equation (2a-c), it is impossible to follow the approach presented in §3 to find the Lyapunov exponents. Therefore, we will use numerical methods in this section. Since a Lyapunov exponent analysis is not trivial, two different methods that can be used to find the Lyapunov exponent(s) will be considered in the following sections.

#### 4.1. Lyapunov exponent analysis, method I: direct method

The calculation of the Lyapunov exponent is not trivial because, by definition, it is a long-term average of the increase in the specific length. A practical way to find the Lyapunov exponent is to split the ratio of final position to initial position, ||X|| / ||x||, into a large number of small time steps. The time step can be set to 0.001 (Sprott 2003) so that the Runge-Kutta numerical integration method can be readily used. The initial distance between the two particles is about three to four orders of magnitude larger than the minimum precision of a computer. For example, for a typical doubleprecision floating point in a Pentium PC with a 64-bit processor, we can have 15-digit precision. Thus the initial separation can be set at about  $10^{-12}$  to  $10^{-11}$ .

First, a computer code developed in Microsoft Visual C++ was applied to calculate the Lyapunov exponents of well-known chaotic systems, e.g. the Lorenz attractor and the Rosseler attractor (Tufillaro, Abbott & Reilly 1992) as listed in table 1 (the total number of time steps is  $10^8$ ). All the relative errors between our numerical results and reference values were less than 0.3 %.



FIGURE 9. Comparison of the Lyapunov exponent of the micromixer model as a function of the Strouhal number using two different methods: method I (dashed), method II (solid line with the error bars). The error bar denotes the standard deviation of the 10 000 Lyapunov exponents along the trajectory before the final time step. Initial condition (x, y) = (0, 0), initial orientation = (1, 0),  $V_p = 1.5$ .

Next, the computer code was used to calculate the Lyapunov exponents of the micromixer model described in §3.1 for different frequencies ( $St = fW_0/V_0$ ) and a fixed amplitude ( $V_p/V_0 = 1$ ). The variables  $W_m$  and  $W_s$  were set equal to  $W_0$ . The Lyapunov exponent of the micromixer required about 100 million time steps to converge while those of the Lorenz attractor only required 1 million time steps according to the detailed convergence study in our previous work (Niu & Lee 2003*a*). The maximum Lyapunov exponent as a function of the Strouhal number, calculated using method I, is shown in figure 9. Note that method I can only be used to calculate the maximum Lyapunov exponent, which is the most important one to characterize chaotic mixing. However, method II in §4.2 can be used to calculate all of the Lyapunov exponents.

#### 4.2. Lyapunov exponent analysis, method II: modified QR method

The Lyapunov exponents have been shown to be a generalization of the eigenvalues at an equilibrium point (Parker & Chua 1989, p. 67):

$$\lambda_i \equiv \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |m_i(t)|, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(18)

where  $m_i(t)$  are the characteristic multipliers of the variational equation associated with the solution,  $\varphi_t(\mathbf{x}_o, t)$ , to the original dynamical system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{x}_0$ ,

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{\Phi}}{\mathrm{d}t} = D_x f(\boldsymbol{x})\boldsymbol{\Phi}, \quad \boldsymbol{\Phi}_o = \boldsymbol{I}, \tag{19}$$

where  $D_x f(\mathbf{x})$  is a tangent map, a matrix-valued function from the vector field, f(x)and  $\Phi_t(\mathbf{x}_0, t) \equiv D_{\mathbf{x}0}\phi_t(\mathbf{x}_0, t_0)$ . There is a series of published papers (Benettin *et al.* 1980; Dieci & van Vleck 1995; von Bremen, Udwadia & Proskurowshi 1997) that discuss how to efficiently calculate the Lyapunov exponents using the above definition. For example, the Lyapunov exponents can be computed by calculating sequence of eigenvalues for the tangent map,  $D_x f(\mathbf{x})$ , at different times. An efficient method, the



FIGURE 10. Contour plot of the mean finite-time Lypaunov exponent of the micromixer model on the  $(\omega, V_p)$ -plane  $(V_0 = 1)$ .

QR-based algorithm for factorizing (an essential step in computing  $\lambda_i$ ) the system matrix, was recently reported (von Bremen *et al.* 1997). We developed a computer program in Matlab using this new method and found three Lyapunov exponents for the micromixer model: one zero, one positive, and one negative. The maximum calculated Lyapunov exponent was consistent with those determined by method I described in §4.1. The occasional large discrepancies in the solid curve in figure 9 are due to the relatively small number of time steps (20000) used in method II. Note that method I can be used to find the maximum Lyapunov exponent, while method II can be used to find all the Lyapunov exponents. The maximum Lyapunov exponents as a function of the Strouhal number were compared using these two methods in figure 9. The optimal Strouhal number for mixing in this Lyapunov exponent curve was ~1.

However, this St value is not consistent with the experimental results (figure 4). According to the literature (Tang & Boozer 1996) and our previous analysis (Niu & Lee 2003b), a finite-time Lyapunov exponent (FTLE),  $\lambda(\tau)$ , is a better criterion to characterize the mixing efficiency of micro mixers,

$$\lambda(\tau) = \lim_{|dX| \to 0} \left[ \frac{1}{\tau} \ln\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}x(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}X}\right) \right].$$
(20)

The FTLE will converge to an infinite-time LE, equation (3), as the time increases to infinity. But the variation of the FTLE depends on the initial points and time steps. For the two- or three-dimensional conservative systems that are often investigated, the spatially averaged (or mean) FTLE,  $\langle \lambda \rangle$ , can be expressed as (Tang & Boozer 1996)

$$\langle \lambda \rangle = \langle \hat{\lambda}(\xi) \rangle / t + \langle f(\xi, t) \rangle / \sqrt{t + \lambda}, \tag{21}$$

where the first term depends only on the initial conditions, while the second term also depends on time. Both terms tend to zero as t approaches infinity. Therefore, the FTLE converges quickly to an infinite-time LE even though the second term can induce some small fluctuations due to the dynamic properties of the system. Figure 10 shows the mean FTLE distribution of the active micromixer in the  $\omega$ ,  $V_p$  parameter

space (Niu & Lee 2003b), which can be used to select the optimal parameters, such as the perturbation amplitude  $V_p$  and the operating frequency  $\omega$ . An array of 50 by 50 points in one square area of the main microchannel at the inlet were used as the initial conditions for the calculation of the mean FTLE in figure 10. The side of the square area is the length that the fluid in the main microchannel moves at  $V_0$  (=1) for one period, 1/f. The total number of time steps of integration is 10000 for each of the initial points with a time step of 0.001. The advantage of the FTLE analysis is that it can decrease the CPU time by more than three orders of magnitude for our micromixer. From this figure, the optimum Strouhal number is ~0.5. This estimation is better than those based on the infinite-time Lyapunov exponent analysis, which implies that the FTLE is a better mixing index for our micromixer. The reason for this result is that the FTLE accounts for both fluid stretching in terms of the stretching rate and fluid folding in terms of curvature (Thiffeault 2004), while an infinite-time Lyapunov exponent involves only fluid stretching.

#### 4.3. Local bifurcation and finite-time Lyapunov exponent analyses

The existence of saddle points in the flow field is important. The saddle point in a two-dimensional velocity field is a point in between the attraction and repulsion of forces. An analysis of a saddle point can be very useful in understanding chaotic dynamical systems. If the cycle time of the time-periodic forcing matches the residence time of the fluid particle (the particle can easily travel to the saddle point), then the mixing can be greatly enhanced. This is due to the frequent coincidence of the saddle point and the transverse homoclinic or heteroclinic point (Tufillaro *et al.* 1992). Chaos theory has shown that the existence of a single homoclinic or heteroclinic point forces the existence of an infinite number of such points. This also gives rise to a homoclinic tangle (Tabor 1989, p. 145). In addition, the optimal driving frequency can be found by analysing the motion of a fluid particle travelling from the main channel to the saddle point.

In order to clarify the locations of saddle points or similar critical points in our micromixer model, we performed a local eigenvalue analysis as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) + \left. \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \right|_{\mathbf{x}_0} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0), \tag{22}$$

where time t has been replaced by  $x_3$  for convenience. At the intersection of the main and side channels (for clarity, we set  $W_s$  and  $W_m$  to be 1), the Jacobian matrix  $(\partial f/\partial x)$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial \mathbf{x}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -2V_0 x_2 & 0\\ -2x_1 V_p \cos(x_3) & 0 & -V_p (1 - x_1^2) \sin(x_3)\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (23)

The eigenvalues for this matrix can be easily calculated as

$$0, \quad \pm 2\sqrt{V_0x_1x_2\cos(x_3)}.$$

As shown in figure 11, for the region  $(x_1, x_2) > 0$   $(x_{1,2} = 1 \text{ and } x_{1,2} = -1)$ , during the time intervals  $2n\pi < \omega t < (2n + 1/2)\pi$  and  $(2n + 3/2)\pi < \omega t < 2(n + 1)\pi$  $(n = 0, 1, 2, ..), (x_1, x_2)$  are saddle points, while for the region  $x_1x_2 < 0$   $(x_1 = -1, x_2 = 1 \text{ and } x_1 = 1, x_2 = -1), (x_1, x_2)$  are centre points. However, during the time intervals  $(2n + 1/2)\pi < \omega t < (2n + 3/2)\pi$ , the previous saddle and centre points exchange roles with each other.



FIGURE 11. Eigenvalue analysis of the micromixer model at the intersection of the main and side channels: (a) when  $2n\pi < \omega t < (2n + 1/2) \pi$  and  $(2n + 3/2)\pi < \omega t < 2 (n + 1)$ ; and (b) when  $(2n + 1/2)\pi < \omega t < (2n + 3/2)\pi$ , (n = 0, 1, 2, ...)

Note that the classification into centre and saddle points applies to the tangent plane of the velocity field, not to the velocity field itself. Although the velocity field is very regular at any time, the velocity gradient field with respect to  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , and  $x_3$  (= t) exhibits singular behaviour.

The optimal driving frequency for the best mixing enhancement in our proposed micromixer was determined from the experiment in §2 and from the Lyapunov exponent analysis given in §4.2. Here, we demonstrate that the optimal frequency condition can be roughly estimated by considering the motion of the fluid particle initially at  $(-W_s/2, 0)$  and finally at  $(W_s/2, W_m/2)$ . Since this particle is in Region II of figure 7(*a*), the governing equations for the particle trajectory are

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x_1}{\mathrm{d}t} = V_0(1 - (X_2/W_m)^2), \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2}{\mathrm{d}t} = V_P(1 - (X_1/W_s)^2)\cos(\omega t).$$
(24)

Because this set of ordinary differential equations is highly nonlinear, it is difficult to find an analytical solution. Therefore, we simplified the velocity in the  $x_1$ -direction by assuming it was uniform, to obtain an approximated solution:

$$\frac{dx_1}{dt} = V_0, \quad \frac{dx_2}{dt} = V_P (1 - (X_1/W_s)^2) \cos(\omega t), \tag{25}$$

with initial conditions  $x_1(0) = -W_s/2$  and  $x_2(0) = 0$ .

The solution to this simplified model can be easily found:

$$x_{1}(t) = x_{1}(0) + V_{0}t = \frac{-W_{s}}{2} + V_{0}t,$$
  

$$x_{2}(t) = x_{2}(0) + \int_{0}^{t} V_{p} \cos(\omega t) dt = \frac{V_{p}}{\omega} \sin(\omega t).$$
(26)

Since we imposed the final location of the particle,  $(W_s/2, W_m/2)$ , we can find the particle travel time  $T_f$ ,

$$x_1(T_f) = \frac{W_s}{2} = \frac{-W_s}{2} + V_0 T_f, \quad T_f = \frac{W_s}{V_0}.$$
 (27)

Substitution of this travel time into the  $x_2$  solution gives

$$\frac{W_m}{2} = \frac{V_p}{\omega}\sin(\omega T_f) = \frac{V_p}{\omega}\sin\left(\frac{\omega W_s}{V_0}\right)$$

or simply

$$St = \frac{f W_m}{V_p} = \frac{1}{\pi} \sin(2\pi f T_f).$$
 (28)

When  $2\pi f T_f = \pi/2$  and  $V_p = V_0$ , the above equation can be reduced to

$$St = \frac{1}{\pi} \approx 0.32. \tag{29}$$

The optimal driving frequency estimated in this manner turns out to be close to the experimental result ( $St \sim 0.25$ ) as shown in figure 6.

#### 4.4. Poincaré section analysis

A Poincaré section analysis is commonly used to simplify the analysis of an *n*-dimensional dynamic system by reducing it to n-1 dimensions (Tufillaro *et al.* 1992). For an integrable two-dimensional Hamiltonian system, such as a harmonic oscillator without damping, the Poincaré section is simply a point in the phase space. Therefore, periodic systems have a finite set of points. In contrast, chaotic systems have an infinite number of points on a Poincaré section. Chaotic regions appear as a random array of dots, while regular regions are indicated by the presence of well-defined invariant curves in the flow. It is well known that Poincaré section analysis cannot distinguish between quasi-periodic and chaotic motion. Therefore, the Lyapunov exponent analysis was necessary to clarify this ambiguity.

For the micromixer model, the Poincaré section method can be applied by setting an appropriate mapping. First, we set  $z = \omega t$  and map the governing equation into an autonomous system. For the trajectory of any initial point, we choose the Poincaré section to be  $x_n = n \times pch$ , n = 1, 2, ... The trajectory will intersect these planes successively at points  $P_1, P_2, ..., P_n$  and define a mapping  $P_{n+1} = \Phi(P_n)$ . With this mapping, z goes to infinity as t increases. Since z only appears in the autonomous system as a term of a sinusoidal function, we can define a new variable,  $\alpha =$ mod(z,  $2\pi$ ). Substituting  $\alpha$  for z in the original system will not change its dynamic characteristics. Therefore we have defined a new mapping,  $P_n(y_n, \alpha_n)$ . Because the micromixer equation is a highly nonlinear system, we cannot obtain an explicit formula for this mapping. However, each point can be calculated by integrating the equation into the next Poincaré section plane (Niu & Lee 2003a).

Figure 12 shows Poincaré sections of the chaotic mixer for two perturbations with the same operating frequency but different amplitudes. The dotted areas represent the chaotic regions. A point that starts within this area will have the possibility of ending up at any location within this area. Regions represented by circles and lines denote quasi-periodic motions or torus; particles that start in these regions can only move in a periodic or quasi-periodic manner. The Kolmogorov–Arnold–Mose (KAM) curves separate the periodic region from the chaotic region (Ottino 1989; Tufillaro *et al.* 1992). Any trajectory of a point initiated in one attractor (the quasi-periodic area or chaotic area) cannot cross over the KAM curves to another attractor. Therefore, the KAM curves act as boundaries that prevent the mixing of fluid elements between the two types of regions.

In figure 12(a), when  $V_p = 0.15$ , a large portion of the particles continued to move periodically as indicated by the presence of wavy lines in the centre of the channel. Only particles close to the channel walls became chaotic. But even inside these supposedly chaotic regions, there existed small patches of periodic regions. As the perturbation amplitude increased, these patches of periodic regions eventually broke up further such that inner chaotic regions emerged from within, as shown in figure 12(b). However, near the centre of the channel, the size of the dominant periodic region was reduced, at first gradually. Later, it degenerated into a string of periodic islands, as shown in figure 12(b). This string of islands acted as a barrier



FIGURE 12. Poincaré sections of the micromixer model at different conditions: (a) the two types of KAM curves formed at  $V_p = 0.15$ ; and (b) the train of periodic islands that appeared at  $V_p = 0.43$ .

that separated the upper and lower chaotic areas, preventing any exchanges between the upper and lower regions.

Finally, when  $V_p$  increased beyond a critical value ~0.42, the string of islands broke up into isolated periodic patches and chaotic pathways appeared between these islands. Under these circumstances, chaotic fluid elements on one side of the channel could pass along these pathways to the other side, as shown in figure 12(b). As  $V_p$ increased further, this chain of isolated islands not only shrank in size but also broke into even smaller patches until they were all engulfed by the chaotic regions. From a parametric study of Poincaré sections, we showed that the best mixing was achieved when the amplitude of the side-channel perturbation was greater than 0.42. The



FIGURE 13. Stable (grey line) and unstable manifold (black line) of a hyperbolic fixed point on the Poincaré sections of the micromixer model at  $V_p = 0.471$  and  $\omega = 1$ .

critical  $V_p$  value found here is consistent with the result of the Lyapunov exponent analysis.

The stable and unstable manifolds of a hyperbolic fixed point in a nonlinear dynamical system have been shown to be an invariant sets (Ottino 1989). A single transverse intersection between these manifolds is sufficient to produce chaotic behaviour (Lichtenberg & Lieberman 1982). In classical chaotic mixing flows, such as a tendril-whorl flow or blinking vortex flow (Khakhar *et al.* 1986), the stable and unstable manifolds can be easily determined because there are analytical solutions for fixed points in these flow fields. For our micromixer model, it is much more difficult to determine the stable and unstable manifolds because we do not have analytical solutions for the fixed points. The stable and unstable manifolds of a hyperbolic fixed point, i.e. ( $\alpha$ , y) = (4.40, -0.45), were found at  $V_p$  = 0.47 and  $\omega$  = 1 (figure 13). However, a comprehensive study of all the manifolds in the micromixer model is beyond the scope of this paper.

#### 5. Conclusions

A two-stream micromixer with time-periodic perturbations in the side channels was fabricated using MEMS technology. Glycerine solutions with and without fluorescent dye were used to characterize the micromixer chips, using fluorescence microscopy, at different frequencies (or Strouhal numbers, St). An experimental mixing index based on the standard deviation of the intensity of the pixels in the digital images was introduced to quantify the mixing process. From a time-averaged mixing index versus frequency plot, the best mixing occurred at  $St \sim 0.25$ .

In addition, a kinematic model was constructed to track tens of thousands of fluid particles in the channel. These particles exhibited a lobe-like structure pattern after the perturbation, which was consistent with the experimental results. Lyapunov exponent, Poincaré section, and local bifurcation analyses were performed to provide a quantitative indication of the chaotic mixing in our proposed micromixer model.

The Poincaré sections of the micromixer were used to identify regular and chaotic regions for different perturbation amplitudes. Chaotic regions appeared as a random array of dots while regular regions were indicated by the presence of well-defined invariant curves in the flow. Since the chaotic system is usually very complicated, in addition to Poincaré section analysis, a Lyapunov exponent analysis was also used in this paper.

The existence of chaotic mixing in the micromixer model with time-periodic flow perturbations in the side channels was confirmed by the computation of Lyapunov exponents with two different numerical methods. From a Lyapunov exponent versus frequency plot, the best long-term mixing occurred at  $St \sim 1$ . However, a method to achieve better mixing over a short time period is of more practical interest in microfluidic applications. In addition, a local bifurcation analysis of the micromixer model showed that the intersections of the main and side channels were saddle point candidates. The distance between the fluid particles initially near these saddle points diverged as time increased.

In the numerical model, the FTLE was found to be a maximum at  $St \sim 0.5$  while the infinite-time Lyapunov exponent was a maximum at St = 1; on the other hand, in the experiment, the mixing index was found to be a maximum at St = 0.25. One may thus suggest that, in order to obtain appreciable mixing in the mixer we considered, it is preferable to optimize the FTLE rather than the infinite-time Lyapunov exponent. The former takes the dynamical processes at work more completely into account, while the latter puts emphasis on the stretching of fluid elements which may not imply mixing, as already mentioned by a number of authors (e.g. Ottino 1989).

The authors would like to thank Professor W. Ditto at the Georgia Institute of Technology, Dr Daniel S. Choi at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Tom Lee and Steve Franz at the UCLA Nanolab and Dr Xize Niu at HKUST for their assistance. This work is supported by the HKUST I<sup>2</sup>MS grant (Ref No: I2MS01/02.EG08) and PROCORE France/Hong Kong Joint Research Scheme (Ref No: F-HK18/05T) and DARPA MTO MicroFlips Program.

#### REFERENCES

AREF, H. 1984 Stirring by chaotic advection. J. Fluid Mech. 143, 1-21.

AREF, H. 2002 The development of chaotic advection. Phys. Fluids 14, 1315-1325.

- BEIGIE, D., LEONARD, A. & WIGGINS, S. 1994 Invariant manifold templates for chaotic advection. *Chaos Solitons Fractals* 4, 749.
- BENETTIN, G., GALGANI, L., GIORGILLI, A. & STRELCYN, J. M. 1980 Lyapunov characteristic exponents for smooth dynamical systems and for Hamiltonian systems; a method for computing all of them. Parts I and II. *Meccanica* 15, 9–30.
- BÖKENKAMP, D., DESAI, A., YANG, X., TAI, Y.-C., MARZLUFF, E. M. & MAYO, S. L. 1998 Microfabricated silicon mixers for submillisecond quench-flow analysis. *Anal. Chem.* 70, 232– 236.

VON BREMEN, H. F., UDWADIA, F. E. & PROSKUROWSKI, W. 1997 An efficient QR based method for the computation of Lyapunov exponents. *Physica* D 101, 1–16.

BURNS, M. A., JOHNSON, B. N., BRAHMASANDRA, S. N., HANDIQUE, K., WEBSTER, J. R., KRISHNAN, M., SAMMARCO, T. S., MAN, P. M., JONES, D., HELDSINGER, D., MASTRANGELO, C. H. & BURKE, D. T. 1998 An Integrated Nanoliter DNA Analysis Device. *Science* 282, 484–487.

- D'ALESSANDRO, D., DAHLEH, M. & MEZIC, I. 1999 Control of mixing in fluid flow: a maximum entropy approach. *IEEE T. Automat. Control* 44, 1852–1863.
- DIECI, L. & VAN VLECK, E. 1995 Computation of a few Lyapunov exponents for continuous and discrete dynamical systems. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **17**, 275–291.
- ERINGEN, A. C. 1967 Mechanics of Continua. Wiley.
- EVENSEN, H. T., MELDRUM, D. R. & CUNNINGHAM, D. L. 1998 Automated fluid mixing in glass capillaries. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 69, 519–526.
- FIGEYS, D. & PINTO, D. 2000 Lab-on-a-chip: A revolution in biological and medical sciences. *Anal. Chem.* **72**(9), 330A–335A.
- FRANJIONE, J. G. & OTTINO, J. M. 1987 Feasibility of numerical tracking of material lines and surface in chaotic flows. *Phys. Fluids* **30**, 3641–3643.
- GRAYSON, A. C. R., SHAWGO, R. S., JOHNSON, A. M., FLYNN, N. T., YAWEN, L. I., CIMA, M. J. & LANGER, R. 2004 A BioMEMS review: MEMS technology for physiologically integrated devices. P. IEEE 92, 6–21.
- JONES, S. & AREF, H. 1988 Chaotic advection in pulsed source-sink systems. *Phys. Fluids* 31, 469–485.
- KHAKHAR, D. V., RISING, H. & OTTINO, J. M. 1986 Analysis of chaotic mixing in two model systems. J. Fluid Mech. 172, 419–451.
- LEE, Y.-K., TABELING, P., SHIH, C. & HO, C. M. 2000 Characterization of a MEMS-Fabricated Mixing Device. In Proc. ASME IMECE 2000, Orlando, FL, USA, Nov 5–10, pp. 505–511.
- LEVENSPIEL, O. 1972 Chemical Reaction Engineering. Wiley.
- LICHTENBERG, A. J. & LIEBERMAN, M. A. 1982 Regular and Stochatic Motion. Springer.
- LIU, R. H., STREMLER, M. A., SHARP, K. V., OLSEN, M. G., SANTIAGO, J. G., ADRIAN, R. J., AREF, H. & BEEBE, D. J. 2000 Passive mixing in a three-dimensional serpentine microchannel. J. MEMS 9, 190–197.
- MATHEW, G., MEZIĆ, I. & PETZOLD, L. 2005 A multiscale measure for mixing. Physica D 211, 23-46.
- MIYAKE, R., LAMMERINK, T. S. J., ELWENSPOEK, M. & FLUITMAN, J. H. J. 1993 Micromixer with fast diffusion. In *Proc. IEEE MEMS'93, Fort Lauderdale, FL*, pp. 248–253.
- MUZZIO, F. J., SWANSON, P. D. & OTTINO, J. M. 1991 The statistics of stretching and stirring in chaotic flows. *Phys. Fluids* **3**, 822–834.
- NGUYEN, N.-T. & WU, Z. 2005 Micromixers a Review. J. Micromech. Microengng 15, R1-R16.
- NIU, X. & LEE, Y.-K. 2003a Efficient spatial-temporal chaotic mixing in microchannels. J. Micromech. Microengng 13, 454–462.
- NIU, X. & LEE, Y.-K. 2003b Finite time Lyapunov exponent for micro chaotic mixer design. In Proc. MEMS, ASME IMECE'03, Washington DC, Nov. 16–21 (Paper No: IMECE2003-41389).
- OKKELS, F. & TABELING, P. 2004 Spatiotemporal resonances in mixing of open viscous fluids, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 038301.
- OTTINO, J. M. 1989 The Kinematics of Mixing: Stretching, Chaos, and Transport. Cambridge University Press.
- OTTINO, J. M., MUZZIO, F. J., TJAHJADI, M., FRANJIONE, J. G., JANA, S. C. & KUSCH, H. A. 1992 Chaos, symmetry, and self-similarity: Exploiting order and disorder in mixing processes. *Science* 257, 754–760.
- OTTINO, J. M. & WIGGINS, S. 2004 Introduction: mixing in microfluidics. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A **362**, 923–935.
- PARKER, T. S. & CHUA, L. O. 1989 Practical Numerical Algorithms for Chaotic Systems. Springer.
- PIERREHUMBERT, R. T. 1991 Large-scale horizontal mixing in planetary atmospheres. *Phys. Fluids* A 3, 1250–1260.
- RAYNAL, F. & GENCE, J.-N. 1997 Energy saving in chaotic laminar mixing. Intl J. Heat Mass Transfer 40, 3267–3273.
- REGENFUSS, P., CLEGG, R. M., FULWYLER, M. J., BARRANTES, F. J. & JOVIN, T. M. 1985 Mixing liquids in microseconds. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* 56, 283–290.
- SPROTT, J. C. 2003 Chaos and Time-Series Analysis pp. 116-117. Oxford University Press.
- STONE, H. A., STROOCK, A. D. & AJDARI, A. 2004 Engineering flows in small devices: microfluidics toward a lab-on-a-chip. Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 36, 381–411.
- STROOCK, A. D., DERTINGER, S. K. W., AJDARI, A., MEZIC, I., STONE, H. A., WHITESIDES, G. M. 2002 Chaotic mixer for microchannels. *Science*. **295**, 647–651.

- TABELING, P. CHABERT, M., DODGE, A., JULLIEN, M.-C. & OKKELS, F. 2004 Chaotic mixing in cross-channel micromixers. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.* A 362, 987–1000.
- TABOR, M. 1989 Chaos and Integrability in Nonlinear Dynamics: An Introduction. Wiley.
- TANG, X. Z. & BOOZER, A. H. 1996 Finite time Lyapunov exponent and advection-diffusion equation. *Physica* D 95, 283–305.
- THIFFEAULT, J. L. 2004 Stretching and curvature of material lines in chaotic flows. *Physica* D 198, 169–181.
- TUFILLARO, N. B., ABBOTT, T. & REILLY, J. 1992 An Experimental Approach to Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos. Addison–Wesley.
- VOLPERT, M., MEINHART, C. D., MEZIC, I. & DAHELH, M. 1999 An actively controlled micromixer. In Proc. MEMS, ASME IMECE, Nashville, TN, USA, Nov., pp. 483-487.
- VOTH, G. A., HALLER, G. & GOLLUB, J. P. 2002 Experimental measurements of stretching fields in fluid mixing. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 254501.
- WIGGINS, S. 1992 Chaotic Transport in Dynamical Systems. Springer.
- YANG, H. 1994 On the relative importance between chaotic mixing and diffusion. *Phys. Lett.* A 185, 191–195.